

at 34 McLaren Street, North Syde PP Number: PP_2012_NORTH_006_00 posal Details Date Planning 13-Sep-2012 Proposal Received: Sydney Region East Region : Sydney Region East State Electorate : NORTH SHORE LEP Type : Spot Rezoning ocation Details Street : Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney City : Land Parcel : Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Sydet OOP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439	on enabling a 'car park' as	an additional permitted use on land
posal Details Date Planning 13-Sep-2012 Proposal Received : Region : Sydney Region East State Electorate : NORTH SHORE LEP Type : Spot Rezoning ocation Details Street : Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP OOP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439	LGA covered : RPA :	North Sydney
Proposal Received : Region : Sydney Region East State Electorate : NORTH SHORE LEP Type : Spot Rezoning Ocation Details Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney Suburb : North Sydney Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DOP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley 0292286439	RPA :	
Proposal Received : Region : Sydney Region East State Electorate : NORTH SHORE LEP Type : Spot Rezoning ocation Details Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DOP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley 2029286439	RPA :	
State Electorate : NORTH SHORE LEP Type : Spot Rezoning ocation Details Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney City : Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Sydney DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439		North Sydney Council
LEP Type : Spot Rezoning ocation Details Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney City : Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Sydney Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439	Section of the Act	
ocation Details Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney City : Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439		55 - Planning Proposal
Street : McLaren Street Suburb : North Sydney City : Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439		
Suburb : North Sydney City : Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439		
Land Parcel : Land at 34 McLaren Street, North Syd Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439		
Lots 1-4 DP 1042467 and Lots 1-2 DP DoP Planning Officer Contact Details Contact Name : Nigel Riley Contact Number : 0292286439	North Sydney	Postcode : 2060
Contact Number : 0292286439		ents defined as Lot 1 DP 1033999,
Contact Number : 0292286439		
Contact Email : nigel.riley@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Details		
Contact Name : Brad Stafford		
Contact Number : 0299368358		
Contact Email : Brad.Stafford@northsydney.nsw	.gov.au	
DoP Project Manager Contact Details		
Contact Name :		
Contact Number :		
Contact Email :		
Land Release Data		
Growth Centre :	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Metro Inner North subregion Regional Strategy :	Consistent with Strategy	y: Yes

Planning Proposal - St Thomas' Church, North Sydney

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	7	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	; Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	ojectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ot	pjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	Adequate. The objective park as a permissible us		mend NSLEP 2001 to allow a car
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2)	(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment			
Comment :	Part 5 Site Specific Prov	Proposal consists of a site spec isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling o I permitted use on the site.	ific clause to be included under development for the purposes of a
Justification - s55 (2	Part 5 Site Specific Prov car park as an additional	isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling (
Justification - s55 (2	Part 5 Site Specific Prov car park as an additional	isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling (I permitted use on the site.	
Justification - s55 (2	Part 5 Site Specific Prov car park as an additional 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Direc	isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling (I permitted use on the site.	
Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg	Part 5 Site Specific Prov car park as an additional 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Direct ntified by RPA :	isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling o I permitted use on the site. ctor General? No	development for the purposes of a
Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg b) S.117 directions iden * May need the Director	Part 5 Site Specific Prov car park as an additional 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Direct ntified by RPA :	isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling of I permitted use on the site. ctor General? No 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and T 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metri	development for the purposes of a
Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg b) S.117 directions iden * May need the Director Is the Director Gene	Part 5 Site Specific Prov car park as an additional 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Direc ntified by RPA : r General's agreement	isions of NSLEP 2001, enabling of I permitted use on the site. ctor General? No 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and T 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metrics	development for the purposes of a

Planning Proposal - St Thomas' Church, North Sydney

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	On 18 September 2012, the Department of Planning and Infrastructure released a draft Practice Note (PN) for Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses, for public consultation. The Planning Proposal comprises an amendment to NSLEP 2001 and if approved, would require an amendment to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses of the draft North Sydney Principal LEP. Therefore, the draft PN is a relevant consideration for this Planning Proposal.
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Ye	es
Comment :	A Land Zoning Map and Aerieal Photo highlighting the subject land are provided. These resources adequately identify the subject land to which the Planning Proposal applies.
	The proposed site specific provision would require an amending map to be prepared which identifies subject land. Should the Planning Proposal proceed, this map may be prepared by Council after completion of community consultation.
Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council has not nominated a timeframe for exhibition of the Planning Proposal.
	This is considered a low impact proposal.
Additional Director (General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : February 20	13
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The draft Principal LEP was exhibited in January - March 2011 and is presently at section 68 stage.
	Under NSLEP 2001, the subject site is zoned Special Uses - Church and Special Uses - Church Hall. Under the exhibited draft LEP, the subject land was zoned R3 Medium Density Residential. This change of zoning was made in accordance with the Department's Practice Note PN 10-001.
	On 7 November 2011, Council resolved to forward a revised draft LEP to the Department under section 68 of the EP&A Act. Under this revised draft LEP, the site was rezoned to SP2 Infrastructure.
	Council has since resolved to re-exhibit the draft Principal LEP, in order to account for the number of post-exibition changes to the draft Plan. Parliamentary Counsel was consulted to provide a revised draft LEP for exhibition by Council. No objection was raised to the proposed SP2 zoning.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	car park on the site. H has been recognised a	lowever, 'o as an unla	nglican Church, have historic car park' is an innominate lan nwful use. As Relevant Planni gnise 'car park' as lawful use	d use under NSLEP 2001 and ing Authority, Council has
	permitted land uses w	rithin the l	ditional Permitted Uses enco _and Use Table and recomme ted uses only in exceptional	ends the use of site specific
	2001 and by both the o Principal LEP. There a	exhibited are a numl d SP2 und	R3 zoning and the proposed ber of other sites in North Sy	e current zoning under NSLEP SP2 zoning under the draft dney LGA zoned Special Use nere 'car parks' would not be a
			e this land use as a lawful use itional permitted use will be	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Planning Proposa 2036 or the draft Inner			vith the Metropolitan Plan for
nanework .	of section 117 Direction provisions to reduce to park has historically of	on 3.4 Inte the depend operated o small lan	grating Land Use and Transp dence on cars. It is acknowle on the subject land and that t	onsistent with Objective (1)(b) oort, in that it does not contain odged that a commercial car he site on which this use would dered that this inconsistency is
	Proposal allows an ad	lditional la nents in ac		
	No further inconsister	ncies with	SEPPs or section 117 Direct	ions are identified.
Environmental social economic impacts :			ognise existing arrangement mic impacts are envisaged.	s. Therefore, no detrimental
Assessment Process	\$			
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 Month		Delegation :	DG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				×

Page 4 of 5

Planning Proposal - St Thomas' Church, North Sydney

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Covering Letter - PP St Thomas Church North	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Sydney.pdf		
Council Report - PP St Thomas Church North	Proposal	Yes
Sydney.pdf		
Map and Aerial Photo - PP St Thomas Church North	Мар	Yes
Sydney.pdf		
Planning Proposal - PP St Thomas Church North	Proposal	Yes
Sydney.pdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information	It is recommended that this Planning Proposal proceed without variation.
	The Planning Proposal be placed on public exhibition for 14 days.
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for 2036 and draft Inner North Subregional Strategy.
	There is a demonstrated need for this Planning Proposal and a site specific provision permitting 'car parks' as an additional permitted use is the only feasible option to achieve the proposal's intent.
	The proposal's inconsistency with section 117 Direction 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport is of minor significance and no further inconsistencies with SEPPs or section 117 Directions are identified.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Manat. DANNIJELA KARAC' Date: 24 September 2012.

α Α

ş.

* *